

Stephen Walker

RE: : Footpath diversion - Holmfirth Footpath 60

Secretary of State.

I am writing in support of Richard & Sandra Butterfield's request to divert footpath 60.

It is a totally reasonable and understandable request. The reason stated by the Butterfield's are totally valid. It is reasonable & understanding, not to want people walking through their property, firstly they are justified to want privacy & secondly for security, I have a property close by, and theft has been a problem, as people have access to my boundary and can/have cased the place, followed by a break-in/theft. I'm sure the people opposed wouldnt agree to lack of privacy or letting people case the joint.

The extra couple of hundred yards should not be a issue to a serious walker or runner, and crossing a road any any point requires vigilance, in my assessment this is petty.

The work they have done to create the new path is thoughtful & pleasent, they have not skimped on money to make it a nice walk. There is a good surface, a better view, a duck pond, and a bench. Its an improvement!

I support the change

Regards Steve Walker.

Tel:

4th Sept 2020